

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)	Application Number		10099936
	Filing Date		2002-03-13
	First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soular	
	Art Unit	1715	
	Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot	
	Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135	

U.S. PATENTS						Remove
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1	2684328		1954-07-20	Carroll F. Matthews et al.	
	2	2883288		1959-04-21	David L. Dobbs et al.	
	3	3130072		1964-04-21	Robert Duva et al.	
	4	3230098		1966-01-18	Harold W. Robinson	
	5	3330672		1967-07-11	Harry Kroll et al.	
	6	3460953		1969-08-12	Norbert C. Schwartz	
	7	3468804		1969-09-23	Kenneth E. Perry	
	8	3522314		1970-07-28	Paul F. Warner	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

9	3531315		1970-09-29	Michael Golben	
10	3531414		1970-09-29	Donald Richard Randell et al.	
11	4177114		1979-12-04	Yoshihiro Suzuki et al.	
12	4255214		1981-03-10	Frank M. Workens	
13	4265715		1981-05-05	Donald R. Rosegren et al.	
14	4368223		1983-01-11	Takayuki Kobayashi et al.	
15	4389431		1983-06-21	David W. Eismann	
16	4440805		1984-04-03	Nathan Feldstein	
17	4781980		1988-11-01	Masayoshi Yoshitake et al.	
18	4800132		1989-01-24	John J. Grunwald et al.	
19	4821148		1989-04-11	Shiro Kobayashi et al.	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

20	4846918		1989-07-11	Norvell J. Nelson et al.	
21	4873139		1989-10-10	David S. Kinosky	
22	5037482		1991-08-06	Peter E. Kukanskis et al.	
23	5061566		1991-10-29	Noredin H. Morgan	
24	5173130		1992-12-22	Masashi Kinoshita et al.	
25	5186984		1993-02-16	James D. Gabbert	
26	5196053		1993-03-23	John R. Dodd et al.	
27	5256275		1993-10-26	William R. Brasch	
28	5480576		1996-01-02	Richard G. Gary et al.	
29	5498301		1996-03-12	Hirohiko Hirao et al.	
30	5560785		1996-10-01	Hirohiko Hirao et al.	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

31	5795409		1998-08-18	Hirohiko Hirao et al.	
32	5876517		1999-03-02	Eliane Jeannier	
33	5955141		1999-09-21	Andrew McIntosh Soutar et al.	
34	6319543	B1	2001-11-20	Andrew McIntosh Soutar et al.	
35	6331201	B1	2001-12-18	Peter T. McGrath et al.	
36	6444109	B1	2002-09-03	Ronald Redline et al.	
37	6860925	B2	2005-03-01	Andrew McIntosh Soutar et al.	
38	6905587	B2	2005-06-14	Ronald Redline et al.	

If you wish to add additional U.S. Patent citation information please click the Add button.

[Add](#)

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS

[Remove](#)

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1	20020150692	A1	2002-10-17	Andrew McIntosh Soutar et al.	

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

2	20110192638	A1	2011-08-11	Andrew McIntosh Soutar et al.	
3	20110279991	A1	2011-11-17	Andrew McIntosh Soutar et al.	

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button. Add

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ² j	Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁵
	1	50192/72	AU		1971-12-20	ICI Australia Limited		<input type="checkbox"/>
	2	0 797 380	EP	A1	1997-09-24	MacDermid Incorporated		<input type="checkbox"/>
	3	03-002379	JP		1991-01-08	Shikoku Chem Corp		<input type="checkbox"/>
	4	05-263258	JP		1993-10-12	McGean Rohco Inc		<input type="checkbox"/>
	5	08-255968	JP		1996-10-01	Alpha Metals Ltd		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	6	S53-134759	JP		1978-11-24	Fujikura Kasei Co., Ltd.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	7	S60-100679	JP		1985-06-04	Uyemura & Co., Ltd		<input type="checkbox"/>

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

8	96/17974	WO		1996-06-13	Alpha Fry Limited		<input type="checkbox"/>
9	96/17975	WO		1996-06-13	Alpha Fry Limited		<input type="checkbox"/>

If you wish to add additional Foreign Patent Document citation information please click the Add button [Add](#)

NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

[Remove](#)

Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ⁵
	1	LI, FANG JING ET AL., Tarnish Protection for Silver Electrodeposits, Plating and Surface Finishing (February 1988), pp. 58-61.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2	MA, N.L., How strong is the Ag+ -ligand bond?, Chemical Physics Letters 297, November 27, 1998, pp. 230-238.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3	SINGH, INDER ET AL., Silver tarnishing and its prevention - A review, Anti-corrosion methods and materials, Vol. 30, No. 7, July 1983, pp. 4-8.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4	ULLMAN, ABRAHAM, Formation and Structure of Self-Assembled Monolayers, Chem. Rev. 1996, Vol. 96, No. 4, pp. 1533-1554.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	5	Printout of PACER Docket Sheet on December 1, 2011 regarding Civil Case No. 3:11-cv-00716-GSH (Cause No. 28:1338 Patent Infringement) entitled MacDermid, Inc. v. Enthone, Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven), 7 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	6	Litigation Search Report dated August 11, 2011 from Patent Office regarding Reexam Control No. 90/009,932, 10 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	7	Litigation Search Report dated August 12, 2011 from Patent Office regarding Reexam Control No. 90/009,933, 8 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

8	Litigation Search Report dated September 2, 2011 from Patent Office regarding Reexam Control No. 90/009,934, 21 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
9	Enthone's Brief in Opposition to MacDermid's Motion for Summary Judgment filed November 28, 2011 regarding Civil Case No. 3:11-cv-00716-CSH entitled MacDermid, Inc. v. Enthone, Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven), 28 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	Record before the United States Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences entitled Enthone, Inc. v. MacDermid, Inc., Patent Interference No. 105,738 (RES). Bates Labeled IR00000001 through IR00002064. (This will be uploaded to EFS in 5 Parts because of size restriction).	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	Local Rule 56(a)2 Statement filed November 29, 2011 regarding Civil Case No. 3:11-cv-00716-CSH entitled MacDermid, Inc. v. Enthone, Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven), 7 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	Memorandum of Law in Support of MacDermid's Motion for Summary Judgment filed November 4, 2011 regarding Civil Case No. 3:11-cv-00716-CSH entitled MacDermid, Inc. v. Enthone, Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven), 38 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed December 8, 2011 regarding US Application No. 13/092,631, 10 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	Letter to Patent Office regarding Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed December 8, 2011 for US Application No. 13/092,631, 6 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	Appendix A to Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed December 8, 2011 regarding US Application No. 13/092,631, 3 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
16	Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed December 8, 2011 regarding US Application No. 13/187,248, 10 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
17	Letter to Patent Office regarding Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed December 8, 2011 for US Application No. 13/187,248, 10 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
18	Appendix A to Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed December 8, 2011 regarding US Application No. 13/187,248, 3 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

19	Non-Final Office Action dated September 30, 2011 regarding US 90/009,933, 18 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
20	Amendment A filed November 30, 2011 regarding Reexam Control Number 90/009,933, 26 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	Notice of Reasons for Rejection filed on December 16, 1997 regarding Japanese Application No. JP 7-348907, 5 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
22	Order Granting the Request for Ex Parte Reexamination regarding US Patent Number 6,395,329 (Application No. 90/009,932) dated September 30, 2011, 11 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
23	Order Granting the Request for Ex Parte Reexamination regarding US Patent Number 6,860,925 (Application No. 90/009,933) dated September 30, 2011, 12 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
24	Order Granting the Request for Ex Parte Reexamination regarding US Patent Number 5,955,141 (Application No. 90/009,934) dated September 30, 2011, 14 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
25	Request for Ex Parte Reexamination regarding US Patent Number 5,955,141 dated August 3, 2011, 162 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
26	Request for Ex Parte Reexamination regarding US Patent Number 6,395,329 dated August 3, 2011, 155 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
27	Request for Ex Parte Reexamination regarding US Patent Number 6,860,925 dated August 3, 2011, 187 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
28	Section 1.132 Declaration of Steven Castaldi dated January 30, 2012, 9 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>
29	Request for Inter Parties Reexamination Under 35 U.S.C Section 311-318 of US Patent No. US 6,860,925 dated February 1, 2012, 105 pages.	<input type="checkbox"/>

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button [Add](#)

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number	10099936
Filing Date	2002-03-13
First Named Inventor	Andrew McIntosh Soutar
Art Unit	1715
Examiner Name	Brian K. Talbot
Attorney Docket Number	CEDE 2135

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 to make the appropriate selection(s):

☐ That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(1).

OR

☐ That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(2).

- ☐ See attached certification statement.
- ☒ The fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.
- ☐ A certification statement is not submitted herewith.

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

Signature	/John K. Roedel, Jr./	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2012-03-15
Name/Print	John K. Roedel, Jr.	Registration Number	25914

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. **DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.